

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration and further examination are requested.

By this amendment, claims 18-23 have been canceled and claims 24-29 have been added. Thus, claims 24-29 remain pending. Support for the new claim recitations can be found at least at: Fig. 41; and column 26, lines 22-28. If the Examiner requires further supporting passages, she is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone.

Claims 18-23 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,600,672 in view of Glenn. It is submitted that this rejection is improper. The present application is a reissue application of U.S. Patent No. 5,600,672. Further, the original patent was surrendered to the PTO on July 18, 2001 in the parent application of the present application. The Serial No. of the parent application is 09/244,037. Moreover, as is the case in the present application, in the parent application claim 1 of the original patent 5,600,672 has been canceled, and original claim 1 is not present in any of the currently pending reissue applications of U.S. Patent No. 5,600,672.

Claims 18-23 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-18, and 24-29 of copending applications nos. 09/668,068 and 09/680,176. This rejection is traversed and is inapplicable to new claims 24-29 for the following reasons.

Claims 24-29 recite apparatuses or methods and each include recitations related to first and second data streams assigned to a respective constellation in a vector space diagram, wherein the number of signal points of the constellation for the first data stream is different from the number of signal points of the constellation for the second data stream, the first data stream has a synchronization data and data for demodulation for demodulating the modulated signals corresponding to the second data stream, the synchronization data is located at the beginning of the first data stream, and the data for demodulation follows the synchronization data.

The applied copending applications do not claim the apparatuses or methods as recited in detail in claims 24-29 of the present application, including the existence and arrangement of


synchronization data and data for demodulation in the data streams. Therefore, it is submitted that claims 24-29 are allowable over the applied copending applications.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Mitsuaki OSHIMA et al.

By:


Jeffrey R. Filipek
Registration No. 41,471
Attorney for Patentees

JRF/fs
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
April 24, 2003




7,803
Approve
SUS

U.S. Patent

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 2 of 178

5,600,672



O 15 E
APR 24 2003
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
U.S. GOVERNMENT


U.S. Patent

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 10 of 178

5,600,672

FIG. 10



O 1
APR 24 2003
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
2013

U.S. Patent

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 17 of 178

5,600,672




FIG. 17




U.S. Patent

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 29 of 178

5,600,672



APR 24 2003


5,600,672

U.S. Patent

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 48 of 178

FIG. 48



U.S. Patent Office
APR 24 2003
SEARCHED
INDEXED
MAILED
SERIAL NUMBER
10/679,201


U.S. Patent

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 64 of 178

5,600,672

FIG. 65




O R I G I N A L
APR 24 2003
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
U.S. Patent

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 66 of 178

5,600,672

FIG. 67



O.I.P.E.
APR 24 2003
U.S. Patent & TRADEMARK OFFICE
SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED


U.S. Patent

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 92 of 178

5,600,672

FIG. 93





U.S. Patent


Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 111 of 178


5,600,672

FIG. 112


SUBCHANNEL-1 (SRQAM:D1 = 2bit)




SUBCHANNEL-2 (16-SRQAM:D2 = 2bit)



SUBCHANNEL-3 (64-SRQAM:D3 = 2bit)



SUBCHANNEL-4 (256-SRQAM:D4 = 2bit)



SIGNAL POINT
CODE WORD
11 11 11 11

APR 24 2003


U.S. Patent

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 130 of 178

5,600,672

D-132
FIG. 131




APR 24 2003

Feb. 4, 1997

Sheet 137 of 178

5,600,672

FIG. 138






FIG. 169

COMPARISON OF REDUNDANCY

